

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430) Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.orupo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/549,995	10/27/2005	Ronald F. Wilson	8328-3/MIW/SS/43799	1028	
WOODARD, EMHARDT, MORIARTY, MCNETT & HENRY LLP 111 MONUMENT CIRCLE, SUITE 3700 INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204-5137			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			PAJOOHI, TARA S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2886		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/23/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/549 995 WILSON ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Tara S. Paioohi 2886 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 October 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 109-145 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 109-112, 115-123, 128-133, 139, 141 and 143-145 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 113,114,124-127,134-138,141 and 142 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 19 September 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948).

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/8/2008.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/549,995 Art Unit: 2886

DETAILED ACTION

#### Response to Amendment

- Acknowledgement is made to the amendment filed on 10/6/2008.
- 2. Currently, claims 109-145 are pending.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

3. Acknowledgement is made that the information disclosure statement filed 9/8/2008 has been received and considered by the examiner. If the applicant is aware of any prior art or any other co-pending applications not already of record, he/she is reminded of his/her duty under 37 CFR 1.56 to disclose the same.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary sikl lin the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 109-112, 115-116, 118, 121, 123, 128-129, 132, 139-140 and 143-145 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moshe (U.S. Pub. No. 2006/0033919) in view of Tao (U.S. Patent No. 5,732,147).
- 6. Considering claims 109, 128 and 139, Moshe discloses (abstract, paragraphs 3, 10 and 31-187) an apparatus (10) and method for determining one more physical properties (internal properties and characteristics of rod of material) of a rolled smoking article (12) or filter rod, said apparatus comprising:

Page 3

Application/Control Number:

10/549,995 Art Unit: 2886

- a. an imaging device (40) defining a field of view, said imaging device being adapted to image a rolled smoking article (12) or filter rod in said field of view (i.e., electro-optical inspecting includes analyzing light reflected from the rod in the form of photographic or video camera images, paragraph 10);
- a positioning unit (14) which positions a smoking article or filter rod in said field of view such that the axis of the smoking article or filter rod is substantially orthogonal to the optical axis of the imaging device (see fig. 1);
- c. an illuminating unit (26) which illuminates said field of view;
- a processor (i.e., computer) which processes said image to determine one or more physical properties of a smoking article or filter rod in said field of view;
- e. wherein said processor repeatedly samples said image as said smoking article or filter rod is rotated by said rotating mechanism to obtain a plurality of image samples (i.e., article (12) is continuously moving and continuously inspected therefore it repeatedly samples the image of the article);
- f. wherein the processor processes each image sample to obtain a measurement of a diameter of said rolled smoking article or filter rod in each image sample (para. 125); and
- g. wherein the processor uses said measurements to obtain one or more physical properties of said rolled smoking article or filter rod selected from the mean diameter, ovality, circumference, roundness and shape of said rolled smoking article or filter rod (i.e., circumferential area, magnitude of the average diameter, etc., para. 125).

Moshe fails to specifically disclose a rotating mechanism which rotates said smoking article or filter rod about its axis in said field of view.

Tao discloses and shows in figures 1 and 6, rollers (i.e., a plurality of rods on the conveyor (20)) which are positioned side-by-side so as to define a groove wherein the groove receives the article (70) and a rotating unit (80) rotates the rollers to cause the article to rotate through a complete rotation.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide two side-by-side rollers to rotate the rolled smoking device as taught by Tao in the system of Moshe, since Tao discloses (col. 1) that it provides for a high speed method for processing the images of an article.

- 7. Considering claims 110 and 111, Moshe discloses (para. 55) the imaging device forms a digital image of the smoke article or filter rod and the processor processes the digital image electronically (i.e., digital operations, para. 55).
- Considering claims 112, 129 and 140, Moshe discloses (para. 114) illuminating
   with diffuse light onto the field of view.
- Considering claim 115, Moshe discloses (para. 114) the illuminating unit (26) comprises a backlight (70) which backlights a smoking article (12) positioned in the field of view.
- Considering claim 116, Moshe discloses (para. 117) the backlight comprises an infra-red light. (i.e., infra-red illumination).
- Considering claim 121 and 132, Moshe discloses (para. 125) determining the diameter of the smoking article at two or more axially spaced locations of the rolled

smoking article (i.e., the average diameter therefore two or more diameters were determined in order to obtain an average diameter).

12. Considering claims 118, 123 and 143-145, Moshe fails to specifically disclose the rotating mechanism comprises two juxtaposed rollers, which rollers are positioned side-by side so as to define a groove therebetween which groove receives said smoking article or filter rod and a rotating unit which rotates one or both of said rollers thereby to cause the smoking article or filter rod to rotate through a complete rotation.

Tao discloses and shows in figures 1 and 6, rollers (i.e., a plurality of rods on the conveyor (20)) which are positioned side-by-side so as to define a groove wherein the groove receives the article (70) and a rotating unit (80) rotates the rollers to cause the article to rotate through a complete rotation.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide two side-by-side rollers to rotate the rolled smoking device as taught by Tao in the system of Moshe, since Tao discloses (col. 1) that it provides for a high speed method for processing the images of an article.

- Claims 117, 119, 120 and 130 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moshe (U.S. Pub. No. 2006/0033919) in view of Tao (U.S. Patent No. 5,732,147) and further in view of Mullins et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,075,882).
- Considering claim 117, Moshe fails to specifically disclose the imaging device is a digital camera.

In the same field of endeavor, a system and method for optically inspecting cigarettes, Mullins discloses (abstract) imaging with a video camera.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to image the rolled smoking device with a vide camera, since a video camera will provide three-dimensional continuous analysis of the rolled smoking device as it is rotated.

15. Considering claim 119, Moshe discloses a processor and data analysis unit (120) but fails to specifically disclose the processor locates two opposite edges of the rolled smoking article in profile and to calculate the distance between said opposite edges.

In the same field of endeavor, a system and method for optically inspecting cigarettes, Mullins discloses (col. 2, lines 28-31) the processor locates two opposite edges of the rolled smoking article in profile and to calculate the distance between said opposite edges.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the processor to calculate the distance between two opposite edges of the rolled smoking article as disclosed by Mullins in the system of Moshe, since Moshe discloses calculating various properties of a rolled smoking article and therefore calculating the distance between two opposite edges of the cigarette is merely a matter of intended use and would thus be an obvious matter of design choice.

16. Considering claim 130, Moshe discloses (para. 125) determining the diameter of the smoking article at two or more axially spaced locations of the rolled smoking article (i.e., the average diameter therefore two or more diameters were determined in order to obtain an average diameter).

Moshe fails to specifically disclose locating two opposite edges of the rolled smoking article in profile and to calculate the distance between said opposite edges.

In the same field of endeavor, a system and method for optically inspecting cigarettes, Mullins discloses (col. 2, lines 28-31) the processor locates two opposite edges of the rolled smoking article in profile and to calculate the distance between said opposite edges.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the processor to calculate the distance between two opposite edges of the rolled smoking article as disclosed by Mullins in the system of Moshe, since Moshe discloses calculating various properties of a rolled smoking article and therefore calculating the distance between two opposite edges of the cigarette is merely a matter of intended use and would thus be an obvious matter of design choice.

## Allowable Subject Matter

17. Claims 113-114, 120, 122, 124-127, 133-138 and 141-142 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Response to Arguments

 The finality of the previous action is withdrawn. However, the current action is made final based upon applicant's amendment filed on 2/23/2006.

Page 8

Application/Control Number:

10/549,995 Art Unit: 2886

- Applicant's arguments, see pages 15-17 and 19, filed 10/6/2008, with respect to claims 113-114, 120, 122, 124-127, 133-138 and 141-142 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of 7/8/2008 has been withdrawn.
- 20. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 109, 128 and 139, specifically with respect to the limitation of "a rotational mechanism which rotates the smoking article or filter rod about its axis in the field of view", have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 21. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 109, 128 and 139, specifically with respect to the limitation of "an imaging device defining a field of view, the imaging device imaging a rolled smoking article or filter rod in the field of view" have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Examiner would like to respectfully point out to the applicant that even though the apparatus electro-optically inspects properties of a moving rod of material, Moshe does disclose in paragraph 10, that it is well known and obvious in the art that electro-optically inspecting can include analyzing photographic or video camera images of the rod of material.
- 22. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 119 and 130, specifically that 
  "Moshe fails to disclose a processor which determines one or more physical properties 
  of a smoking article" have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Examiner 
  would like to respectfully point out to the applicant that Moshe discloses (abstract) a 
  processor (120) that determines internal properties and characteristics of the rod of 
  material (12) and Mullins discloses (col. 2, lines 28-31) calculating the distance between 
  opposite edges.

Art Unit: 2886

23. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references of Moshe and Tao, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Moshe fails to disclose a rotating mechanism comprising two juxtaposed rollers, however Tao discloses rotating rollers as the rotating mechanism and that it would provide for high-speed processing of the images of the objects. Examiner would also like to respectfully point out that even though the application discloses "rollers to rotate the unit while keeping it in a stationary position", the claims fail to disclose this limitation.

#### Conclusion

24. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number:

10/549,995 Art Unit: 2886

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tara S. Pajoohi whose telephone number is (571)272-9785. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 9:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tarifur R. Chowdhury can be reached on 571-272-2287. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/549,995

Art Unit: 2886

Patent Examiner

Page 11

TSP

/TARIFUR R CHOWDHURY/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2886